IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Bernard Goodwin,) C/A No. 0:13-3468-JFA-PJG
	Plaintiff,)
VS.) ORDER
Dr. R. Neville,)
	Defendant.)
)

The *pro se* plaintiff, Bernard Goodwin, is an inmate with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). He brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 contending that he suffered a skin rash and that the defendant failed to respond to his requests for further medical attention. He seeks monetary damages and injunctive relief.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action¹ has prepared a Report and Recommendation and opines that the complaint should be summarily dismissed. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation. However, the plaintiff did not file objections and the time within which

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

to do so has now expired. In the absence of specific objections to the Report of the

Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

As the Magistrate Judge properly opines, plaintiff has failed to show that his claims

rise to the level of deliberate indifference to a serious medical need. Thus, the defendant is

entitled to summary dismissal from this action.

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation proper and adopts

and incorporates it herein by reference. Accordingly, this action is dismissed without

prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Joseph F. anderson, J.

April 1, 2014 Columbia, South Carolina Joseph F. Anderson, Jr. United States District Judge